# Section IV SR&ED Statistics & Covid-19 effects

### SREDStakeholder.CA

Webcast Mar. 25, 2021
Presented by
Justin Frape, HBA

©Note: All content may be reproduced as long as it acknowledges the source

### 2021 ITC's BACK TO 2014 LEVEL

SR&ED cla	SR&ED claims processed			nce		
<u>Year</u>	<u>Claims</u>	ITC's ('000's)	<u>Claims</u>	ITC's		
2021	19,783	\$4,277,011	0.96%	40.38%	11 months	
2020	19,595	\$3,046,754	-0.20%	-5.40%		
2019	19,632	\$3,219,591	-1.10%	1.30%		
2018	19,855	\$3,177,717	-4.80%	-1.70%		
2017	20,867	\$3,231,128	-8.60%	-18.80%		
2016	22,839	\$3,979,168	-6.00%	-5.50%		
2015	24,302	\$4,211,057	-4.00%	-3.50%		
2014	25,312	\$4,361,819	-11.20%	16.50%		
2010	28,518	\$3,743,200	27.50%	-8.30%		
2007	22,370	\$4,081,256				
2014 to 2020 changes			-22.60%	-30.10%		
2014 to 2021 changes			-21.84%	-1.94%		
Source: CRA Redbook						

### CRA SR&ED Red book Mar 31, 2020 vs. Feb 28, 2021

SR&ED claim intake	Year end N	Mar 31, 2020	11 mo end F	eb 28, 2021	Variance 2	2020-2021
	<u>Claims</u>	ITC's	<u>Claims</u>	ITC's	<u>Claims</u>	<u>ITC's</u>
National	19,959	\$3,046,754	19,783	\$4,277,011	-0.9%	40.4%
Refundable (35%)	12,069	\$1,363,208	12,615	\$1,655,299	4.5%	21.4%
Refundable TPR (35%)	2,805	\$149,665	3,319	\$211,037	18.3%	41.0%
Non-Refundable (15%)	3,466	\$1,142,062	2,617	\$1,902,907	-24.5%	66.6%
Non-Refundable TPR (1	1,424	\$311,680	1,101	\$365,157	-22.7%	17.2%
Other	195	\$80,138	131	\$142,610	-32.8%	78.0%
	19,959		19,783			
Source: CRA Redbook						

Total increase of \$1.23 Billion from 2020 to 2021.

Significant increase to all claimant types.

\$760 million to non-refundable claimants (66% over 2020 & 62% total increase)

Despite number of claims in category down 24.5%

### Taking a deeper look

- Next 4 slides SR&ED review results by region
- Comparison of 2020 vs. 2021
- Indicates 2021 reviews roughly ½ of those in 2020
- Assessment times from 103 to 64 days
- Avg. adjustments 23% to 12% if reviewed
- If review timing likely around 1 year

Processing Outcomes Apr.1, 2019 to Mar. 31, 2020				ITC / CII		
	Processing Type	Processed Claims	Percent of total processed claims by review type	ITC Claimed (\$, 000s)	ITC Allowed (\$, 000s)	ITC Adjusted (\$, 000s)
Atlantic	Coordinated Desk Review Coordinated On-site Review All Coordinated Reviews Total	8 96 104 673	1.2% 14.3% 15.5% 100.0%	\$18,839	\$1,647 \$9,399 <b>\$11,047</b> \$55,813	\$205 \$7,587 <b>\$7,792</b> \$8,104
Québec	Coordinated Desk Review Coordinated On-site Review All Coordinated Reviews Total	105 884 989 5290	2.0% 16.7% 18.7% 100.0%	\$157,621 \$208,530	\$47,421 \$124,369 \$171,790 \$753,924	\$3,488 \$33,252
Ontario Centre	Coordinated Desk Review Coordinated On-site Review All Coordinated Reviews Total	72 561 633 5007	1.4% 11.2% 12.6% 100.0%	\$18,015 \$312,490 \$330,505	\$13,422 \$234,426 \$247,848 \$884,846	\$4,592
Ontario West	Coordinated Desk Review Coordinated On-site Review All Coordinated Reviews Total	25 496 521 3971	0.6% 12.5% 13.1% 100.0%	\$10,996 \$121,151 \$132,147	\$10,303 \$95,182 \$105,485 \$587,408	\$693
Prairies	Coordinated Desk Review Coordinated On-site Review All Coordinated Reviews Total	28 446 474 2287	1.2% 19.5% 20.7% 100.0%	\$5,912 \$165,680 \$171,592	\$5,521 \$113,014 \$118,535 \$345,559	\$390 \$52,666 \$53,057 \$55,173
Pacific	Coordinated Desk Review Coordinated On-site Review All Coordinated Reviews Total	30 325 355 2731	1.1% 11.9% 13.0% 100.0%	\$28,753 \$72,151 \$100,905	\$27,689 \$60,211 \$87,900 \$419,204	\$1,065 \$11,940 \$13,005 \$13,889
All Canada/Tout le Canada	Coordinated Desk Review Coordinated On-site Review All Coordinated Reviews Total	268 2808 3076 19959	1.3% 14 1% 15.4% 100.0%	\$116,437 \$846,079 \$962,516	\$106,004 \$636,602 \$742,605 \$3,046,754	\$10,433 \$209,477 \$219,911 \$243,618

Processing A	Processing April 1, 2020 to February 26, 2021			ITC / CII		
	Processing Type	Processed Claims	Percent of total processed claims by review	ITC Claimed (\$, 000s)	ITC Allowed (\$, 000s)	ITC Adjusted (\$, 000s)
		40	type	<b>04.700</b>	<b>\$4.050</b>	<b>*</b> 400
	Coordinated Desk Review	13		' '	\$1,658	•
Atlantic	Coordinated On-site Review	23		. ,	\$3,154	
	All Coordinated Reviews	36		, ,	\$4,813	
	Total	612			\$68,528	· •
	Coordinated Desk Review	115			\$298,575	
Québec	Coordinated On-site Review	318			\$391,716	
	All Coordinated Reviews	433		. ,	\$690,290	
	Total	5098			\$1,666,696	· ·
	Coordinated Desk Review	120		' '	\$13,614	
Ontario Centre	Coordinated On-site Review	100		. ,	\$22,852	
	All Coordinated Reviews	220		. ,	\$36,466	\$13,217
	Total	4912	100.0%	\$815,239	\$800,000	•
	Coordinated Desk Review	139	3.7%	\$56,485	\$52,368	\$4,117
Ontario West	Coordinated On-site Review	273	7.2%	\$150,407	\$115,486	\$34,921
Ontario West	All Coordinated Reviews	412	10.8%	\$206,892	\$167,854	\$39,038
	Total	3806	100.0%	\$801,679	\$762,006	\$39,673
	Coordinated Desk Review	53	2.2%	\$14,041	\$13,276	\$764
Drairia	Coordinated On-site Review	204	8.6%	\$111,634	\$94,919	\$16,714
Prairies	All Coordinated Reviews	257	10.8%	\$125,674	\$108,195	\$17,479
	Total	2371	100.0%	\$531,326	\$505,153	\$26,173
	Coordinated Desk Review	60	2.0%	\$10,265	\$7,974	\$2,291
Daaitia	Coordinated On-site Review	114	3.8%	\$22,165	\$19,260	
Pacific	All Coordinated Reviews	174	5.8%	\$32,430	\$27,234	\$5,196
	Total	2984	100.0%		\$474,628	
	Coordinated Desk Review	500			\$387,464	
All Canada/Tout	Coordinated On-site Review	1032		\$767,107	\$647,387	\$119,720
le Canada	All Coordinated Reviews	1532	7.7%	. ,	\$1,034,852	
	Total	19783			\$4,277,011	\$160,985

April 1, 2019 to March 31, 2020		Adjustment Rate	Average Days /	Hours / Heures		es
	Processing Type	Overall % ITC Adjusted	Average Total Days	Avg RTA Hours	Avg FR Hours	Avg Total Hours
Atlantic	Coordinated Desk Review Coordinated On-site Review All Coordinated Reviews	11.1% 44.7% 41.4%	918 475 509	54	41 68 66	71 122 118
	Total	12.7%	119	10	13	23
Québec	Coordinated Desk Review Coordinated On-site Review	6.9% 21.1%	338 359	54	27 38	57 93
	All Coordinated Reviews Total	17.6% 4.9%	357 99	52 11	37 8	89 20
Ontario Centre	Coordinated Desk Review Coordinated On-site Review All Coordinated Reviews	25.5% 25.0% 25.0%	372 576 553		32 53 50	69 122 116
	Total	9.5%	134	11	9	20
Ontario West	Coordinated Desk Review Coordinated On-site Review All Coordinated Reviews	6.3% 21.4% 20.2%	299 418 412	65 63	20 48 47	40 113 109
	Total	5.6%	99	11	8	19
Prairies	Coordinated Desk Review Coordinated On-site Review All Coordinated Reviews	6.6% 31.8% 30.9%	240 276 274		21 51 49	39 108 104
	Total Coordinated Desk Review	13.8% 3.7%	97 238	13 22	13 18	26 40
Pacific	Coordinated On-site Review All Coordinated Reviews	16.5% 12.9%	281 277	60	45 43	105 100
	Total	3.2%	64	9	7	16
All Canada/Tout	Coordinated Desk Review Coordinated On-site Review	9.0% 24.8%	339 395		26 47	55 107
le Canada	All Coordinated Reviews Total	22.8% 7.4%	390 103	58	45 9	102 20

April 1, 2020 t	o February 26, 2021	Adjustment Rate / Taux	Average Days /	Ho	ours / Heure	es
	Processing Type	Overall % ITC Adjusted	Average Total Days	Avg RTA Hours	Avg FR Hours	Avg Total Hours
Atlantic	Coordinated Desk Review Coordinated On-site Review All Coordinated Reviews	6.2% 29.5% 22.9%	193 306 265	38 59 51	35 55 48	73 113 99
	Total	2.1%	63	5	6	12
Québec	Coordinated Desk Review Coordinated On-site Review All Coordinated Reviews	3.0% 12.5% 8.7%	383 446 429	30 76 64	28 56 48	58 132 112
Ontario Centre	Total Coordinated Desk Review Coordinated On-site Review All Coordinated Reviews	4.2% 28.8% 25.2% 26.6%	77 223 403 305	7 55 81 67	58 66 62	13 113 147 128
	Total	1.9%	51	5	5	10
Ontario West	Coordinated Desk Review Coordinated On-site Review All Coordinated Reviews	7.3% 23.2% 18.9%	218 379 325	35 67 56	31 52 45	66 119 101
	Total	4.9%	78	8	6	14
Prairies	Coordinated Desk Review Coordinated On-site Review All Coordinated Reviews	5.4% 15.0% 13.9%	183 258 243	47 56 54	44 50 49	92 106 103
Pacific	Total Coordinated Desk Review Coordinated On-site Review	4.9% 22.3% 13.1%	68 199 277	55	7 44 47	15 95 102
T donie	All Coordinated Reviews Total	16.0% 1.3%	250 45	5	46 5	99 10
All Canada/Tout	Coordinated Desk Review Coordinated On-site Review	5.4% 15.6%	250 365		40 54	82 121
le Canada	All Coordinated Reviews Total	12.1% 3.6%	328 64		49 6	108 12

#### Advisory Service Results and Check-offs for the period April 1, 2019 to March 31, 2020

	Pr	Pre-Claim Consultation				
	Opened during the period	Processed during the period	Inventory			
Atlantic	10	9	4			
Québec	40	34	18			
Ontario Centre	41	54	13			
Ontario West	32	33	8			
Prairies	22	27	7			
Pacific	71	67	15			
All Canada/Tout le Canada	216	224	65			

	e-Claim Review	
Opened during the period	Processed during the period	Inventory
0	0	0
0	0	1
0	0	0
1	2	8
0	0	0
1	3	0
2	5	9

Check-offs
Processed during the period
142
5
405
951
3,215
8
4.726

### SREDStakeholder.CA

#### Advisory Service Results and Check-offs for the period April 1, 2020 to February 26, 2021

	Pre-Claim Consultation					
	Opened during the period	Processed during the period	Inventory			
Atlantic	8	12	2			
Québec	25	35	5			
Ontario Centre	31	33	11			
Ontario West	14	16	6			
Prairies	26	28	5			
Pacific	33	36	12			
All Canada	137	160	41			

Pre-Claim Review					
Opened during the period	Processed during the period	Inventory			
0	0	0			
0	1	0			
0	0	1			
0	8	0			
0	0	0			
0	0	0			
0	9	1			

Check-offs
Processed during the period
125
4
350
895
3,294
8
4,676

# SR&EC SRED

### SR&ED - Effects of COVID-19

### SREDStakeholder.CA

Search CRA





<u>Canada.ca</u> > <u>Taxes</u> > <u>Income tax</u> > <u>Corporation income tax</u> > <u>Scientific Research and Experimental Development Tax Incentive Program</u>

### What's new - SR&ED Program

This Scientific Research and Experimental Development (<u>SR&ED</u>) Program "What's new" page is organized by date. For information listed by year and by topic, go to the <u>SR&ED Program archives</u>.

#### [2021-02-19]

The <u>Guidance: How the Canada emergency wage subsidy affects SR&ED claims</u> is now available to help SR&ED claimants, who are also <u>Canadian emergency wage subsidy (CEWS)</u> recipients, determine how the CEWS might affect their SR&ED claim.

#### Example of the Canada emergency wage subsidy applied to an SR&ED claim

#### **CEWS** received for the SR&ED employees

Employees	Total salary (12-week period) a)	Total CEWS (12-week period) b)
Sarah	\$16,800	\$10,164
Jamaal	\$8,400	\$6,300
Total	\$25,200	\$16,464

### SREDStakeholder.CA

#### **SR&ED** salary calculation

SR&ED employees	SR&ED % time spent c)	Total SR&ED salary (12-week period) a)* c)	Total CEWS earned for SR&ED b)* c)	Net SR&ED salary
Sarah	100%	\$16,800	\$10,164	\$6,636
Jamaal	30%	\$2,520	\$1,890	\$630
Total		\$19,320	\$12,054	\$7,266

Form T661	Traditional method	Proxy method
SR&ED portion of salaries or wages of employees directly engaged in the SR&ED	\$16,800	\$16,800
Overhead and other expenditures	\$ 2,520	-
Total allowable expenditures	\$19,320	\$16,800
Less: government assistance (CEWS)	\$12,054	\$10,164
Pool of deductible SR&ED expenditures	\$7,266	\$6,636
Total allowable expenditures	\$19,320	\$16,800
Add: prescribed proxy amount (55%* SR&ED portion of salaries or wages of employees directly engaged in the SR&ED)	-	\$9,240
Less: government assistance (CEWS)	\$12,054	\$12,054
Qualified expenditures	\$7,266	\$13,986

## SR&ED planning New tax court procedures

### SREDStakeholder.CA

Presented by

Angela Salvatore, LLM

Rogerson Law Group

## Section V SR&ED Tax Court Strategies

### SREDStakeholder.CA

Webcast Mar. 25, 2021 Presented by

Angela I. Salvatore BA, JD, LLM (Taxation)

©Note: All content may be reproduced as long as it acknowledges the source

### **Discussion Topics**

- A Potpourri of TCC SR&ED Topics and a Summary of the Department of Finance 2021 Tax Expenditure Analysis, as it relates to SR&ED
- Outline of TCC Rules (General Procedure) and (Informal Procedure)
  on costs and review of all TCC SR&ED decisions from 1996 to date,
  from the TCC website, that address costs, in whatever fashion, in an
  attempt to provide guidance to accountants and tax lawyer on how
  costs are addressed by the TCC in SR&ED matters
- Outline of section 6 of the *TCC Rules (General Procedure)*, a notation of the problems it raises, and how they are addressed
- Review of the recently-released Department of Finance 2021 Tax Expenditure Analysis, as it relates to SR&ED claims

### Topic #1: Costs at the TCC

#### Tax Court of Canada Rules (General Procedure) (SOR/90-688a)

#### 147 - Costs

- 147 General Principles
- 148 Costs on Discontinuance
- 149 Costs on Settlement
- 149.1 Costs on Failure to Elect under Subsection 18(1)
- 150 Taxation of Costs
- 151 Special Provisions
- 152 Liability of Counsel for Costs
- 152.1 Costs in Vexatious Proceedings

Tax Court of Canada Rules (General Procedure) (SOR/90-688a)

SREDStakenbluer.CA

Tax Court of Canada Rules (Informal Procedure) (SOR/90-688b)

SREDState-Costs Ider.CA

14.1 - Costs in Vexatious Proceedings

### Review of TCC Decisions from TCC Website in SR&ED Matters From 1996 to Date - Breakdown

- See chart in PDF
- A total of <u>47</u> TCC decisions address costs in SR&ED matters out of a total of 58 decisions in SR&ED matters:
  - <u>12</u> Informal and <u>35</u> General
- Number of Informal matters where costs awarded: 2
- Number of General matters where costs awarded: 21

### Review of TCC Decisions from TCC Website in SR&ED Matters From 1996 to Date – Breakdown of Orders

- Willing to hear submissions from counsel on question of costs or
  - counsel asked to make submissions on costs -2
- Costs awarded in accordance with the Tariff 2
- If parties are unable to agree on costs, they may make submissions, in writing, not to exceed a certain number of pages – 4
- One party is entitled to costs -4
- Appeal allowed or dismissed, with costs <u>18</u>
- Costs in the lump sum of a certain amount 1
- Appeal allowed or dismissed, without costs 9

Review of TCC decisions from TCC website in SR&ED matters from

1996 to date – Further Breakdown of Orders Made

- No costs awarded to either party <u>5</u>
- Each party shall bear their own costs 2
- Costs in the cause 1
- Costs in any event of the cause 1

\*Note: One decision is placed in three boxes and one is placed in two boxes.

### Review of TCC decisions from TCC website in SR&ED matters from 1996 to date – One Decision Provides Useful Guidance

#### Foster v. The Queen (2007 TCC 659) [I Matter]

[45] However, the Respondent is asking this Court to order the Appellant Atherton to pay costs by reason of his vexatious conduct at the trial and because he pursued his appeal even though it disclosed no reasonable cause of action. The Respondent also asks the Court to order the Appellant Atherton to pay 10% of all amounts in issue, in accordance with section 179.1 of the Act.

[63] In my opinion, this additional time taken to make his case constitutes an abuse of process. By his unacceptable and reprehensible conduct, the Appellant showed indifference, not only to the Court, with respect to the amount of time that it had to devote to this matter, but also to the opposing party, who had to incur additional expenses to assert her rights.

### Foster v. The Queen (2007 TCC 659) [I Matter]

[64] In Sherman v. Minister of National Revenue, 2003 FCA 202, the Federal Court of Appeal wrote the following passage about the award of costs:

46. It is now generally accepted that an award of costs may perform more than one function.

Costs under modern rules may serve to regulate, indemnify and deter. They regulate by promoting early settlements and restraint. . . . They seek to compensate, at least in part, the successful party who has incurred, sometimes, large expenses to vindicate its rights.

Foster v. The Queen (2007 TCC 659) [I Matter]

[65] This passage was adopted in *Fournier v. The Queen,* 2005 FCA 131. The Federal Court of Appeal has said that this Court has the inherent power to prevent and control abuses of process by awarding costs.

[66] I am satisfied that the circumstances of the instant case justify the exercise of the discretion conferred upon me, and I order the Appellant Atherton to pay costs to the Respondent in the lump sum of \$3,000.

### Why are there so reported few costs' decisions by the TCC in SR&ED matters?

- Given the length of the hearing, in order to cut down on court time, the court will receive written submissions on costs from the parties and render a separate costs' decision to the parties only
- Such costs' decisions are not reported by the TCC (rarely, if ever), unlike costs' decisions in civil litigation and domestic family matters, where ancillary costs' decisions will be rendered and reported via the online legal research platforms, such as WestlawNext Canada, LexisAdvance Quicklaw, and CanLII.

#### Now What?

In an effort to see how costs were dealt with in a particular TCC decision in a

SR&ED matter, you can: A Company of the CA

- 1. contact the parties or the lawyers directly, to make a request to review a copy of the costs' decision (\*this is the best option) or
- 2. contact the TCC Registry Office to see if it would provide you with a copy (\*TCC Registry Office would have to obtain consent from the parties in order to release the costs' decision).

#### Now What?

In an effort to see how costs were dealt with in a particular TCC decision in a

SR&ED matter, you can: A Company of the CA

- 1. contact the parties or the lawyers directly, to make a request to review a copy of the costs' decision (\*this is the best option) or
- 2. contact the TCC Registry Office to see if it would provide you with a copy (\*TCC Registry Office would have to obtain consent from the parties in order to release the costs' decision).

#### Now What?

In an effort to see how costs were dealt with in a particular TCC decision in a

SR&ED matter, you can: Ken older CA

- contact the parties or the lawyers directly, to make a request to review a copy of the costs' decision (\*this is the best option) or
- 2. contact the TCC Registry Office to see if it would provide you with a copy (\*TCC Registry Office would have to obtain consent from the parties in order to release the costs' decision).

Topic #2: Issue of Recording of Teleconferencing and Videoconferencing at the TCC at the Request of the Parties in General Procedure Matters

### Tax Court of Canada Rules (General Procedure) (SOR/90-688a)

6 - <u>Hearings by Videoconference or Teleconference</u>

Only one decision has addressed section 6 and not on a substantive basis:

James H. Odishaw Professional Corp. v. R., File No. 95-2680(IT)G

Tax Court of Canada Rules (General Procedure) (SOR/90-688b)

### Issue of Recording of Teleconferencing and Videoconferencing at the TCC at the Request of the Parties in General Procedure Matters

#### Question:

If the parties are arranging teleconferencing or videoconferencing of a matter, who is responsible to record it?

### Issue of Recording of Teleconferencing and Videoconferencing at the TCC at the Request of the Parties in General Procedure Matters

### Frequently Asked Questions at TCC Website → After the Hearing

https://www.tcc-cci.gc.ca/en/pages/frequently-askedquestions

How do I obtain a copy of a hearing transcript of audio recording?

Court Reporting and Transcription Fees (\*dated, from 2016-2017 – current page under construction)

https://www.cas-satj.gc.ca/en/operations/fees-2016-17.shtml

### **ASAP Reporting**

https://www.asapreportingservices.com/services

### Topic #3: SR&ED Analysis in 2021 Department of Finance Tax Expenditure Report

https://www.canada.ca/content/dam/fin/publications/taxexp-depfisc/2021/taxexp-depfisc-21-eng.pdf

### Any Questions and/or Comments?



#### Thank You!

Angela I. Salvatore BA, JD, LLM (Taxation)

Rogerson Law Group Brookfield Place, TD Canada Trust Tower 161 Bay Street, Suite 2700 Toronto, ON M5J 2S1

Tele.: (416) 5757-4139 (c)

Email: angela@rogersonlaw.com

