Skip to main content Skip to institutional links

## **PRACTICE NOTE NO. 22**

## **EXPERTS' PANEL (HOT TUBBING)**

The following is the Protocol the Tax Court of Canada will follow in the "Hot Tubbing" Process for Experts.

- 1. The Judge shall:
  - a. review the pleadings;
  - b. define the issue;
  - c. determine if experts are required or to be presented;
  - d. determine if reports have been exchanged and the Rules followed;
  - e. determine if there is any contest in qualifications;
  - f. if there is a contest in qualifications, determine what is the nature thereof; and
  - g. determine if there is a possible exclusion from the hot tubbing process.
- 2. The Judge shall determine if the experts are qualified to speak to the issue at hand either by consent and judicial assessment or a voir dire on the issue.
- 3. If the qualifications are acceptable to the Judge, the Judge shall determine if there is any dispute on the report or challenge to same. If so:
  - a. what are the challenges and disputes?
  - b. do they go to weight?
  - c. do they go to credibility?
  - d. is it a substantive issue?
- 4. The presiding Judge shall read the experts reports with the written consent of the parties.
- 5. Before the hot tubbing panel is set, the Judge shall order the experts to meet pre-trial for them to see if they can narrow the issues, discuss the points of disagreement and explore common areas. The lawyers and parties may attend the meeting.
- 6. The Judge shall set the panel only after all factual evidence has been put on the record by the parties.
- 7. The Judge shall:
  - a. prepare the questions for the panel; and
  - b. present the questions to each panel member same question in turn, back and forth between the panel for a full comprehensive explanation and expansion on answers to allow the Judge to comprehend and compare in real time the answers of each expert to each question.
- Once the judicial questions are answered and complete, each counsel in turn may examine their expert and conduct cross-examination of the other expert but such examination/crossexamination is limited to:
  - a. clarification;
  - b. answer expansion; and

c. new matters.

Dated this 11th day of March, 2019.

(Original signed by)

Eugene P. Rossiter

**Chief Justice**